

# SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL MASTER PLAN

# City of Baldwin Park









# October 2014

Baldwin Park Department of Public Works 14403 Pacific Avenue Baldwin Park, CA 91706 (626) 813-5255

# Prepared by:











# Safe Routes to School Master Plan City of Baldwin Park

October 2014

# Acknowledgements

#### **Baldwin Park City Council**

Mayor Manuel Lozano Mayor Pro-Tem Ricardo Pacheco Councilmember Cruz Baca Councilmember Monica Garcia Councilmember Susan Rubio

#### City of Baldwin Park

Daniel Wall, Public Works Director David Lopez, Associate Engineer

# California Center for Public Health Advocacy

Rosa Soto, Assistant Director Christina Cardenas, Advocacy Manager Connie Gonzalez, Administrative Assistant Alfred Mata, Local Policy Specialist Christine Quintero, Program Assistant

#### **Baldwin Park Unified School District**

Christine Dennis, Assistant Superintendent Sael Castro, Translator Lupe Palacios

#### **THINKTogther**

Letitia Aguino Johanna Vaca

#### **SRTS Master Plan Advisory Committee**

Jose Contreras Griselda Fernandez Marlen Garcia Maria Gonzalez Marlene Flores-Avila Captain Jill Poe Diana Rivera-Beltran Yolanda Sanchez Steven Storvis

# **Design Team**

#### **Local Government Commission**

Paul Zykofsky, Associate Director Anthony Leonard, Project Manager

#### **Ryan Snyder Associates**

Ryan Snyder, President

#### **Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates**

Michael M. Moule, PE, TE, PTOE, Principal

#### Stantec

Rock E. Miller PE, PTOE, Principal

Funding provided through a California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Community-Based Transportation Planning Grant and the City of Baldwin Park.



Views and opinions presented in this report do not necessarily represent the views or opinions of Caltrans or the California Business Transportation and Housing Agency

# INTRODUCTION

The City of Baldwin Park has embarked on an effort to improve safety at all of its public schools. Baldwin Park has received both Federal and State SRTS grants to fund the Maine Avenue Complete Streets project. The Maine Avenue project will make numerous improvements to Maine Avenue from Los Angeles Street to Arrow Highway. These will be completed soon. The Maine Avenue project precedes this current planning effort and sets the stage for improvements called for in this Plan. This Plan will position the City well to receive future grants for both infrastructure projects and non-infrastructure programs.

The City partnered with the Local Government Commission and the California Center for Public Health Advocacy, and was awarded a grant from Caltrans to create a City of Baldwin Park Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Plan (the Plan). The Plan will include SRTS plans for each school, and citywide efforts to support and complement the individual plans. This document details work completed thus far and future steps.

There are two primary purposes to SRTS programs:

- 1. To make it safer for students to walk and bicycle to school
- 2. To increase the number of students walking and bicycling to school

In addition to safety benefits, there are health benefits for students who walk and bike to school. Environmental benefits result as fewer parents drive their children to school every day. Additionally, as children and families adopt more active lifestyles, their quality of life increases, they have more free time from driving less, and community relationships are strengthened. All of these benefits combine to create more livable neighborhoods surrounding schools where children walk or bike to school.

This document contains a program for a "5E" approach to making walking and bicycling safer and more attractive to Baldwin Park's students and parents. The 5Es include the following:

- Engineering—to make physical improvements to the routes that students use to walk or bicycle to school
- Education—to teach students safe walking and bicycling habits, to teach parents the importance of safe driving habits, and to emphasize health and environmental benefits
- Encouragement—to promote walking and bicycling to school so more students choose to do so
- Enforcement—to ensure that rules and laws of the road are followed, as well as safe pick-up and drop-off practices are adhered to at the schools

Evaluation—to track the Plan to assess its success and to modify it accordingly

Experience shows that this approach yields successful results in both making our communities safer to walk and bicycle in, and increasing the number of students doing so.

The Caltrans grant funded a range of efforts at the schools to initiate this Plan. The grant was used to do the following:

- Conduct SRTS workshops at schools
- Assess the safety issues
- Plan physical modifications to the routes

This project began in August of 2013. In September of 2013 the consultant team began conducting SRTS workshops for the stakeholders at each school. Three nationally certified SRTS instructors from the consultant team facilitated the workshops. The workshops began with a presentation that described why SRTS is important, along with a sampling of engineering devices that can be applied to make walking and bicycling safer. Attendees also saw presentation modules on education, encouragement, and enforcement programs. The workshops provided Spanish-speaking residents at all the schools with translated presentation slides along with simultaneous interpretation with headphones.

After the presentation, stakeholder attendees walked around the school and identified safety concerns at particular locations along common routes to each school. Upon returning to the presentation room, attendees drew on large-scale maps of their schools and surrounding areas. Attendees marked common walking and cycling routes to their school and identified key issues and locations needing improvement. They identified general safety issues, as well as location-specific safety issues. They also listed potential education, encouragement, and enforcement programs that might work at their schools. These led to the creation of SRTS plans for each school.

Since Baldwin Park high schools are located adjacent to elementary and middle schools, the team conducted joint workshops and prepared joint plans for these.

The plans for each school contain detailed engineering concepts. They also include a bullet-pointed list of some education, encouragement, and enforcement ideas that workshop attendees mentioned as potential programs.

After the draft of this plan was released and reviewed by City staff, a final community workshop was conducted on April 29, 2104. Community members were presented with the draft projects and program plans for each school, and offered a chance to comment and offer additional ideas. The ideas received were then incorporated into the existing projects and recommendations in this plan.

This Plan updates SRTS planning work conducted in 1995 by Baldwin Park. The 1995 SRTS Plan identified specific street improvements near each school in a similar fashion to this Plan. Signals, crosswalk striping, signs and other improvements were called for. Those improvements have been completed. This Plan moves Baldwin Park to the next step. This update utilizes the latest devices and design guidance which have changed significantly since 1995.

# **EVALUATION**

In the beginning of the process, baseline surveys were taken to learn about existing commute to school patterns. As the Plan's programs unfold, they should show increases in the number of students walking and bicycling. Since engineering improvements (physical modifications made to streets and intersections) will likely be made after this planning effort ends, initial improvements will result from the programs alone. Further increases can be expected once the physical improvements are made. Table 1 below shows results of the first baseline tally conducted in classrooms in the fall of 2013. Students identified the way they commute to school by all the modes that are commonly used. "Other" may include skateboards, scooters or taxis.

**Table 1: Baseline Commute to School Tally** 

School	Walk	Bicycle	Other Self- Driven	School Bus	Family Vehicle	Carpool with Children of Other Family	Public Bus	Other	Number of Students
Bursch Elementary School	104	1	1	10	189	13	0	0	318
Central Elementary School									
De Anza Elementary School	174	12	3	111	252	7	0	0	559
Elwin Elementary School	133	1	5	19	193	15	0	0	366
Foster Elementary School	206	2	5	58	283	21	7	1	583
Geddes Elementary School	145	3	5	112	414	28	0	0	707
Kenmore Elementary School	175	0	1	52	258	12	0	2	500
Margaret Heath Elementary School	166	0	0	7	304	34	0	0	511
Pleasant View Elementary School	124	4	3	16	181	31	0	1	360
Santa Fe Elementary School	28	0	0	0	194	31	0	3	256
Tracy Elementary School	172	0	0	28	351	32	1	1	585
Vineland Elementary School	208	9	4	53	369	41	0	1	685
Walnut Elementary School	118	1	5	11	332	32	1	4	504
Holland Middle School	176	11	30	34	275	24	0	0	550
Jones Jr. High School	181	5	0	35	223	19	1	5	469
Olive Middle School	97	3	5	21	325	16	0	0	467
Sierra Vista Jr. High School	275	5	3	32	399	31	1	0	746
TOTAL BY MODE	2482	57	70	599	4542	387	11	18	8166
% BY MODE	30.39%	0.70%	0.86%	7.34%	55.62%	4.74%	0.13%	0.22%	

School	Walkers	Riders	Number of Students
Central Elementary School	221	302	523

Notes: Tallies were taken for Grades 1-8 between the dates of 10/7/13-10/21/13. High School students were not tallied. Central Elementary used different categories for their tally.

# SRTS PLANS BY SCHOOL

Comments from the SRTS workshops were brought along when fieldwork was conducted so that the resulting plans address the issues raised. The fieldwork also identified issues observed, which the plans address. The schools are presented in the order when the workshops were conducted. The workshops were grouped with the elementary schools that feed each corresponding middle school.

The planned physical improvements along school routes are described in the following pages. The Design Guidance section at the end of this document provides definition and guidance on these improvements. All bulb-outs and curb extensions will include perpendicular curb ramps and truncated dome tactile devices for the sight impaired. All pedestrian signals include audible signals for the sight impaired. All parkways planned for paving will ideally be paved with porous concrete for infiltration.

This is a *planned* list of improvements. The list gives the City projects that it can seek funds for. The City may want to change the list over time, as the list is conceptual. Engineering will need to be conducted prior to construction.

Crossing improvements are numbered according to their location in this document.

Maps on the following pages illustrate common routes that students take to get to school. The proposed improvements were planned along these routes.

The City should consider implementing some of the less expensive items first. Some items are relatively inexpensive and many can be put in within a short time frame after this Plan has been adopted. On the other hand, devices that require construction, and perhaps drainage modification, are significantly more expensive and may become long-term expenditures. Table 2 below shows some of the devices for consideration of short-term or long-term implementation.

**Short-Term Devices Long-Term Devices** Crosswalks Curb extensions Crossing islands Advanced stop/vield lines Signs Hybrid beacons Raised crosswalks Countdown signals Curb ramps Sidewalks Bike lanes Paths Red curbs Drainage modifications Rapid flash beacons

Table 2: Short-Term Vs. Long-Term Devices

However, the City should take extra care with uncontrolled crossings, especially of multi-lane streets. Research has shown that simply marking a crosswalk on multi-lane streets with over 12,000 vehicles per day may result in more pedestrian crashes. The research recommends that other devices, such as but not limited to crossing islands, advanced yield lines, curb extensions and beacons are needed to make these pedestrian crossings safe. So in these cases, new crosswalks should be put in with some devices that are more expensive.

In addition to cost, the City should also consider means of prioritizing projects. The City won't be able to fund all of the improvements at once so they will have to be phased in. In order to prioritize projects the city can apply such criteria as, but not limited to:

- Crash history
- Traffic volumes
- Pedestrian volumes
- Number of travel lanes
- Width of the street
- Traffic speed
- Size of the school
- Community support

The City should also seek opportunities to piggy back on other projects. For example, the Maine Avenue project will soon make improvements that will benefit a number of schools. A future Olive Street project could do the same. Implementing a citywide bicycle plan will coincide with bikeway projects in this Plan. Resurfacing projects present ideal opportunities to stripe bike lanes, crosswalks, advanced yield lines, etc.

The plans for each school are presented in an order that groups elementary schools with the middle schools that they feed into in the same area of the city.



**De Anza Elementary School** 

# **SRTS Workshop**

An SRTS workshop was conducted on October 24, 2013. The following key stakeholders attended:

- Parents
- School police officers
- A representative of the California Center for Public Health Advocacy
- School representatives, including the principal and community liaison
- A representative of the Baldwin Park Unified School District



#### Safety Issues Raised at the Stakeholder Workshop or Through Field Observations

#### General

- Homeless people and other scary people
- Speeding
- Dogs
- Broken sidewalks
- Poor lighting
- Narrow sidewalks
- People crossing midblock
- Flooded streets

#### Location-Specific Issues

- Athol St. & Bess Ave.
  - bad intersection
  - o difficult to cross
  - o large corner radii on the south side
  - o cars not stopping when pedestrians are crossing
  - fast turns
  - o sometimes people dart out in front of turning cars
- Bess Ave. & Leorita St.
  - o no school bus stop signs
  - motorists don't stop when buses are loading
- Bess Ave. & Mangum St.
  - street flooding
  - need police presence


- Bess Ave. & Syracuse Ave.
  - o poor lighting
- Bess Ave. & Patritti Ave.
  - poor lighting
- · Stairs connecting Athol St. to Nolina Ave.
  - o lack of lighting
  - o no rails on the stairs on one side of the stairs
  - o garbage/poor maintenance
  - o scary people hanging out drinking, smoking, and/or doing bad things
- Walnut Creek Nature Park
  - lack of lighting
  - o homeless people stay there
  - o poor maintenance
- · Connection to the back of the school from Torch St.
  - o no signs telling people that they can get to the school here
  - o the field can be wet or flooded
  - poor lighting
  - the gate is locked except in the morning; it needs to be open during arrival and dismissal
- Along Athol St. Bridge
  - o sidewalk is too narrow
  - o sidewalk is only on one side
  - poor lighting
- Bridge over I-10 freeway near Bess Ave. and Frazier St.
  - o poor lighting
  - o trash/graffiti


# **Student Activities**

A special session was held with students of the THINK (Teaching, Helping, Inspiring and Nurturing Kids)
Together after school program. In this session we asked the students to draw maps of the their routes to school. This offers a chance to get their perspective on what they observe as they go to and from school. Below are some of the images that students drew.












# Maps

The following map displays bicyclist and pedestrian involved crashes for a five-year period between 2007 and 2011.



California Transportation Injury Mapping System data (2007-2011)

The map below shows the proposed engineering projects along common routes used by students to get to school.



# **Existing Conditions and Engineering Recommendations**


#### **Crossing Improvements**

#### O1. Bess Ave. & Barnes Ave.

#### Existing

- T-Intersection
- 3-way stop
- · Yellow transverse-line crosswalks on the north and east legs
- Crossing guard

- Add yellow zebra-striped crosswalks on the north and east legs (2)
- Add advanced stop lines on the north and east legs (2)




## O2. Bess Ave. & Syracuse Ave.

#### Existing

- 4-way stop
- · Yellow transverse-line crosswalks on the west, south, and east legs

- Install white zebra-striped crosswalks on all four legs (4)
- Add advanced stop lines on all 4 legs (4)
- Improve street lighting



#### O3. Bess Ave. & Patritti Ave.

#### Existing

- T-intersection
- Uncontrolled
- No crosswalk markings

- Install zebra-striped crosswalks on the east and south legs (2)
- Add advanced yield lines to both approaches to the east and south leg crosswalks
   (2)
- Add R1-5 signs to both approaches to the east and south leg crosswalks (2)
- Add Assembly D signs to both approaches to the east and south leg crosswalks (2)
- Add Assembly B signs to the east and south leg crosswalks (4)
- Improve street lighting




#### O4. Bess Ave. & Athol St.

#### Existing

- 4-way stop
- Very large compound corner radii on the SW and SE corners
- Transverse-line yellow crosswalks on the north, south, and west legs

- Install smaller corner radii on the SW and SE corners (the largest trucks likely do not come frequently and can use more of the intersection); relocate the curb ramps on these corners (2)
  - o in the short term, create smaller radii by using pavement markings and reflective raised pavement markers
- Add zebra-striped crosswalks on all four legs (4)
- Add advanced stop lines on all four legs (4)




#### O5. Bess Ave. & Mangum St.

#### Existing

- T-intersection
- 1-way stop for Mangum St.
- Transverse-line yellow crosswalk on the north leg

- Add white zebra-striped crosswalks on the west and north legs (2)
- Add a curb ramp on the SW corner for the west leg crosswalk (1)
- Add an advanced stop line on the north leg (1)
- Add advanced yield lines to both approaches to the west leg (2)
- Add R1-5 signs to both approaches to the west leg (2)
- Add Assembly D signs to both approaches to the west leg (2)
- Add Assembly B signs to the west leg crosswalk (2)




#### O6. Bess Ave. & Garvey Ave./I-10 On-ramp

#### Existing

- Skewed 4-way intersection with a nearby T-intersection
- 3-way stop (on-ramp to I-10 is one-way away from the intersection)
- Transverse-line crosswalks on the NW and NE legs

- Add white zebra-striped crosswalks on the NW and NE legs (2)
- Add stop lines on the NW and NE legs (2)
- Construct a smaller corner radius on the west corner
- Realign the NE leg crosswalk by constructing a smaller corner radius on the SE corner




#### 07. Bess Ave. & Leorita St.

#### Existing

- 4-way stop
- No marked crosswalks
- School bus picks up students here, stopping on the west side of Leorita south of the intersection

- Add signs prohibiting on-street parking during the time that the bus stops (2)
- Add zebra-striped crosswalks on all four legs (4)
- Add advanced stop lines on all four legs (4)




#### O8. Walkway at the back of the school at Torch St.

#### Existing

- Narrow walkway connecting a gate at the back of the school to Torch St.
- No crosswalk or ramps

- Add a zebra-striped crosswalk at the walkway to cross to the south side of the street (1)
- Add advanced yield lines to both approaches to the new crosswalk (2)
- Add R1-5 signs to both approaches to the new crosswalk (2)
- Add Assembly D signs to both approaches to the new leg crosswalk (2)
- Add Assembly B signs to the new leg crosswalk (2)
- Add curb ramps at each end of the new crosswalk (2)
- Add signs directing people to the school (2)




#### O9. Barnes Ave. & Waltham St.

#### Existing

• 2-way stop for Waltham St.

- Add zebra-stripe crosswalks on all legs (4)
- Add advanced stop lines to the east and west legs (2)
- Add advanced yield lines to both approaches to the north and south legs (2)
- Add R1-5 signs to both approaches to the north and south legs (2)
- Add Assembly D signs to both approaches to the north and south legs (2)
- Add Assembly B signs to the north and south leg crosswalks (2)
- Add curb extensions to all legs (8)



# O10. Syracuse Ave. & Waltham St.

#### **Existing**

- T-intersection
- 1-way stop for Waltham St.


- Add a zebra-stripe crosswalk on the east leg (1)
- Add an advanced stop line on the east leg (1)



#### O11. Syracuse Ave. & Torch St.

- 2-way stop for Torch St.
- · Yellow transverse-line crosswalk on the north leg

- Add zebra-stripe crosswalks on all legs (4)
- Add advanced stop lines to east and west legs (2)
- Add advanced yield lines to both approaches to the north and south legs (2)
- Add R1-5 signs to both approaches to the north and south legs (2)
- Add Assembly D signs to both approaches to the north and south legs (2)
- Add Assembly B signs to the north and south leg crosswalks (2)
- Add curb extensions to all legs (8)




#### O12. Torch St. & Patritti Ave.

#### Existing

- T-intersection
- Uncontrolled intersection

- Add zebra-stripe crosswalk on the north leg (1)
- Add advanced yield lines to both approaches to the north leg (2)
- Add R1-5 signs to both approaches to the north leg (2)
- Add Assembly D signs to both approaches to the north leg (2)
- Add Assembly B signs to the north leg crosswalk (2)



#### **Linear Improvements**

- Make improvements at the stairway connecting Athol St. (near the bridge) to Nolina Ave., including improved lighting, stair reconstruction where needed, new handrails, and repaired fences
- Athol St. bridge over I-10
  - o in the short term, restripe this bridge with bike lanes by using a curb-to-curb cross section of 6' bike lane, 10' travel lane, 10' travel lane, 6' bike lane (approximately 360')
  - in the long term, reconstruct the street to widen the sidewalk from 4'10" to 6'10" with a curb-to-curb cross section of 5' bike lane, 10' travel lane, 10' travel lane, 5' bike lane (approximately 360')
- On Athol St. between Bess St. and Frazier St., restripe to provide buffered bike lanes (0.2 mi.)

#### Bicycle, Skateboard, and Scooter Parking

 Add racks for 10 bicycles as described in the Design Guidance section. Add racks for 10 skateboards or scooters. Add more if needed.

# **Program Plan**

Over time the schools will form SRTS Committees. These committees will oversee and coordinate ongoing education, encouragement, and enforcement programs at the schools. They will decide which programs to institute. In the meantime, workshop participants at De Anza Elementary School viewed modules on these topics and generated the following list of ideas they thought they would like to participate in.

#### Education

- Meetings and workshops about the rules for parents
- Parents setting good examples
- Education for children about the rules of the road (e.g., crossing at the correct locations)
- Mock crossing exercise with signs and streets (e.g., safety town)

#### Encouragement

- Walk-to-school day once per week
- Punch cards with prizes like stickers and small toys

- Meetings and reminders for parents to encourage their kids to walk
- Activities like walking around the school

## **Enforcement**

- More participation and monitoring by school police (e.g., giving warnings and tickets)
- Stop sign enforcement